arXiv:0809.1156v3 [hep-th] 26 May 2009

New half supersymmetric solutions of the heterotic string

G. Papadopoulos

Department of Mathematics
King's College London
Strand
London WC2R 2LS, UK

Abstract

We describe all supersymmetric solutions of the heterotic string which preserve 8 supersymmetries and show that are distinguished by the holonomy, $\mathrm{hol}(\hat\nabla)$, of the connection, $\hat\nabla$, with skew-symmetric torsion. The $\mathrm{hol}(\hat\nabla)\subseteq SU(2)$ solutions are principal bundles over a 4-dimensional hyper-Kähler manifold equipped with a anti-self-dual connection and fibre group G which has Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{Lie}(G)=\mathbb{R}^{5,1}$, $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})\oplus\mathfrak{su}(2)$ or $\mathfrak{cw}_6$. Some of the solutions have the interpretation as 5-branes wrapped on G with transverse space any hyper-Kähler 4-dimensional manifold. We construct new solutions for $\mathfrak{Lie}(G)=\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})\oplus\mathfrak{su}(2)$ and show that are characterized by 3 integers and have continuous moduli. There is also a smooth family in this class with one asymptotic region and the dilaton is bounded everywhere on the spacetime.

We also demonstrate that the worldvolume theory of the backgrounds with holonomy $SU(2)$ can be understood in terms of gauged WZW models for which the gauge fields are composite.

The $\mathrm{hol}(\hat\nabla)\subseteq\mathbb{R}^8$ solutions are superpositions of fundamental strings and pp-waves in flat space, which may also include a null rotation. The $\mathrm{hol}(\hat\nabla)=\{1\}$ heterotic string backgrounds which preserve 8 supersymmetries are Lorentzian group manifolds.

Contents

1 Introduction 2

2 Killing spinor equations 5

3 Holonomy $SU(2)$ solutions 5

4 Trivial principal bundle solutions 9

5 Non-trivial principal bundle solutions 12

6 Type II and M-theory solutions 18

7 Worldvolume theory 20

8 Holonomy $\mathbb{R}^8$ solutions 25

9 Conclusions 28

References 29

1 Introduction

It is well known for sometime that branes have a low energy description in terms of half supersymmetric solutions of 10- and 11-dimensional supergravity theories. As such they have been instrumental in understanding the superstring dualities and in formulating the proposal for M-theory, see [1, 2]. In type II theories, apart from the various branes [3]-[10], half supersymmetric solutions include brane bound states, see eg [11], and backgrounds which have applications in AdS/CFT correspondence, see eg [12, 13]. Other half supersymmetric supergravity solutions are the vacua of string theory and M-theory compactifications like those on K_3. In type I and heterotic theories, it is known that half supersymmetric solutions include the fundamental string [4], the pp-wave, and the 5-brane [7]. Despite these developments our understanding of half supersymmetric solutions of 10- and 11-dimensional supergravity theories is rather limited. In particular, it is not known what kind of geometry the half supersymmetric solutions have or a systematic way to describe them.

In this paper, we construct all the half supersymmetric solutions of the heterotic[1] supergravity. This is based on the results of [14, 15], where the Killing spinor equations of heterotic supergravity has been solved in all cases using the spinorial geometry technique of [16]. We find that there are three classes of solutions distinguished by the holonomy, $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla})$, of the connection, $\hat{\nabla}$, with skew-symmetric torsion, H. These are $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq SU(2)$, $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^8$ and $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) = \{1\}$.

The solutions of the first class, $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq SU(2)$, can be described in terms of the data of a principal bundle. In particular, we show that the spacetime M is a principal bundle, $M = P(G, B_{\mathrm{hk}}; \pi)$, equipped with a principal bundle connection λ. In addition, the base space B_{hk} is a 4-dimensional *hyper-Kähler* manifold, and the curvature of λ is (1,1) with respect to all three complex structures, ie λ is anti-self-dual. The fibre (gauge) group G is a 6-dimensional Lorentzian, *self-dual*[2] Lie group. The associated self-dual Lie algebras have been classified and have been shown to be isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{5,1}$, $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$ or $\mathfrak{cw}_6$ [17]. In addition, the gaugino Killing spinor equation implies that the gauge connection A of the heterotic string is also a anti-self-dual connection over B_{hk}. The Killing spinor equations determine the $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq SU(2)$ solutions up to an arbitrary function h of spacetime. This in turn can be specified by either solving the field equations or the the (anomalous) Bianchi identity of H (3.13). Therefore the data needed to construct all half supersymmetric solutions in this class of the heterotic string up and including 2-loops in the sigma model perturbation theory are

- a hyper-Kähler 4-dimensional manifold B_{hk},
- a anti-self-dual connection λ on B_{hk} with gauge group G such that $\mathfrak{Lie}(G)$ is the Lorentzian self-dual Lie algebra $\mathbb{R}^{5,1}$, $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$ or $\mathfrak{cw}_6$,
- an anti-self-dual connection A on B_{hk}, and

---

[1] The Killing spinor equations of type I supergravity are identical to those of the heterotic string. Consequently, the half supersymmetric solutions of type I supergravity will be constructed as well.

[2] Self-duality in this context means that the 3-form associated with the structure constants of the Lorentzian Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{Lie}(G)$, of G is self-dual.

- a solution of (3.13) for the function h.

Given these data, the solutions can be written[3] as

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \eta_{ab}\lambda^a\lambda^b + h\, ds^2_{\text{hk}} , \qquad e^{2\Phi} = h , \\ H &= \frac{1}{3}\eta_{ab}\lambda^a \wedge d\lambda^b + \frac{2}{3}\eta_{ab}\lambda^a \wedge \mathcal{F}^b - \star_{\text{hk}} dh , \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is the curvature of l.

We mostly explore explicit solutions for the class of backgrounds for which the contribution from the anomaly cancelation mechanism to the Bianchi identity of the 3-form field strength, H, vanishes, and so H is closed $dH = 0$. Although our formalism applies to the case that $dH \neq 0$ as well.

The most straightforward case to consider is that for which the principal bundle connection λ is trivial. Then the solutions up to discrete identifications are

$$G \times B_{\text{hk}} , \quad 5_{B_{hk}}[G] ; \quad G = \mathbb{R}^{5,1} , \ AdS_3 \times S^3 , \ CW_6 , \tag{1.2}$$

where B_{hk} is any 4-dimensional hyper-Kähler manifold and $5_{B_{hk}}[G]$ denotes the 5-brane solution with transverse space B_{hk} and worldvolume geometry G. For the 5-brane solution of [7], $B_{hk} = \mathbb{R}^4$ and $G = \mathbb{R}^{5,1}$. In the $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times B_{\text{hk}}$ and $5_{B_{hk}}[AdS_3 \times S^3]$ solutions, the radius of AdS_3 is equal to that of S^3 because of the self-duality condition. The solutions $CW_6 \times B_{\text{hk}}$ and $5_{B_{hk}}[G]$, $G \neq \mathbb{R}^{5,1}$, are new.

New families of solutions can be constructed whenever λ has non-vanishing curvature. Such solutions have the interpretation of wrapped 5-branes on G with transverse space any hyper-Kähler 4-dimensional manifold B_{hk}, where $G = \mathbb{R}^{5,1}$, $AdS_3 \times S^3$ or CW_6 up to discrete identifications. In particular, we explicitly construct the solution for $G = AdS_3 \times S^3$ and $B_{\text{hk}} = \mathbb{R}^4$ in the case that only the S^3 is gauged. The background is as in (1.1) and h is given in (5.23). These solutions are characterized by three integers (k, ν, p), where k is the flux of the 3-form in S^3, ν is the instanton number and p the asymptotic 5-brane charge. They also depend on at least $8\nu - 3$ continuous parameters, the moduli of $SU(2)$ instantons. In addition there is a family of solutions within this class, with h given in (5.14) and $\nu = 1$, which are smooth and the dilaton is bounded over the whole of spacetime. In this case $p = 4$. For this family, the spacetime metric has an $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times \mathbb{R}^4$ asymptotic region and there is no throat at the position of the 5-branes. We propose an M-theoretic interpretation of the $\nu = 1$ solution as the near M2-brane geometry of a M2- with -M5 brane intersection on a self-dual string. In particular, we identify the near-horizon geometry of the M2-brane as it emerges from the prescribed data. It is also likely that the more general solution based on ν instantons, with h given in (5.21), is also smooth and the dilaton bounded over the whole of spacetime. This solution has $p = 4\nu$ and similar asymptotic properties to the solution based on a single instanton.

The worldvolume theory of the $\text{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq SU(2)$ class of solutions can be related to gauged WZW models. In particular, the principal bundle connection can be thought of as the gauge field which arises from the gauging of the right action of a $\mathbb{R}^{5,1}$, $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})\oplus\mathfrak{su}(2)$ or $\mathfrak{cw}_6$ WZW model. Such a gauging is anomalous but in this case the gauge fields are composite and depend on the scalars of the base space $B_{\rm hk}$. As a result, the anomaly is cancelled because there is an additional contribution from $B_{\rm hk}$.

---

[3] From now on, the subscript hk indicates that the associated operation or space is taken with respect to the hyper-Kähler metric.

The worldsheet supersymmetry of the resulting theory depends on the particular solution. If the gauge connection is identified with the spacetime one, ie the contribution of the anomaly vanishes and so $dH=0$, the minimal amount of worldvolume supersymmetry is (1,1) which in some sectors can enhance up to (4,4). All the solutions are finite up and including 2 loops. In addition an indirect argument, based on (4,1) worldvolume supersymmetry [18], suggests that most of, and perhaps all, such holonomy $SU(2)$ backgrounds are ultraviolet finite to all orders in perturbation theory. In addition, we comment on the integrability of strings propagating in such backgrounds.

Alternatively, if $dH\neq 0$, ie there is an anomalous contribution in the Bianchi identity of H, then the minimal worldvolume supersymmetry of the solutions is (1,0) which in some sectors can enhance to (4,0). Again an indirect argument, based on (4,0) worldvolume supersymmetry [18], suggests that some of these backgrounds are again ultraviolet finite to all orders in perturbation theory. However, the couplings may receive finite local counterterm corrections which arise from changing the renormalization scheme from a manifestly (1,0) to a (4,0) supersymmetric one [19].

The $\mathrm{hol}(\hat\nabla)\subseteq\mathbb{R}^8$ class of solutions include the fundamental string of [4] and the pp-wave solutions in flat space. We show that the most general solutions in this class are superpositions of the fundamental string, the pp-wave solutions together with a null rotation. Because of the chiral nature of these models, $dH=0$, ie the anomalous contribution to the Bianchi identity of H vanishes. The worldvolume theory of generic such backgrounds exhibits (1,0) supersymmetry. The ultraviolet properties of the worldvolume theories of these backgrounds have been investigated in [20] and it has been found that some of them are not finite in the context of heterotic string.

The solutions of the third class of backgrounds that preserve 8 supersymmetries, $\mathrm{hol}(\hat\nabla)=\{1\}$, are WZW models. The spacetime is a Lorentzian group manifold. The gravitino Killing spinor equation admits 16 $\hat\nabla$-parallel spinors but the dilatino and the gaugino Killing spinor equations preserve only half of the parallel spinors. The spacetime is a product, up to the appropriate dimension, of one of the Lorentzian groups $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$, $\mathbb{R}$ and CW_{2n} with the Riemannian groups $\mathbb{R}$, $SU(2)$ and $SU(3)$. The complete list can be found in table 4 of [21].

This paper is organized as follows. In section two, we state the Killing spinor equations of heterotic string. In section three, we solve the Killing spinor equations for the $\mathrm{hol}(\hat\nabla)\subseteq SU(2)$ class of backgrounds. In sections four and five, we give examples of new solutions and explore their properties. In section six, we give an M-theoretic interpretation for the $\mathrm{hol}(\hat\nabla)\subseteq SU(2)$ class of backgrounds. In section seven, we investigate the worldvolume theories associated with the $\mathrm{hol}(\hat\nabla)\subseteq SU(2)$ backgrounds. In section eight, we give the solutions of $\mathrm{hol}(\hat\nabla)\subseteq\mathbb{R}^8$ class of backgrounds, and in section nine we present our conclusions.

2 Killing spinor equations

The gravitino, dilatino and gaugino Killing spinor equations of the heterotic supergravity (and type I) are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\nabla}_A\epsilon &= 0+\mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)\ ,\\
(\Gamma^A\partial_A\Phi-\frac{1}{12}H_{ABC}\Gamma^{ABC})\epsilon &= 0+\mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)\\
F_{AB}\Gamma^{AB}\epsilon &= 0+\mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.1}
$$

where $A, B, C = 0, \dots 9$ are frame indices, Φ is the dilaton, H is the NS$\otimes$NS three-form field strength, F is the gauge field strength and

$$
\hat{\nabla}_B Y^A = \nabla_B Y^A + \frac{1}{2}H^A{}_{BC}Y^C\ , \tag{2.2}
$$

is a metric connection with torsion H. These Killing spinor equations are valid [22] up and including 2-loops in the sigma model perturbation theory [23]. As it has already been indicated they are expected to receive α' corrections from higher loops. Taking into account the 1-loop anomaly cancelation condition, H is not closed but instead

$$
dH = -\frac{\alpha'}{4}\mathrm{tr}(\check{R}^2-F^2)+\mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)\ , \tag{2.3}
$$

where $\check{R}$ is the curvature of the metric connection $\check{\nabla}$ which has torsion $-H$. We follow the conventions of [24].

The Killing spinor equations (2.1) have been solved in complete generality in [14, 15] and all the conditions on the geometry of the spacetime required for the existence of Killing spinors have been found. Here we shall investigate the special case of backgrounds with strictly 8 supersymmetries. A consequence of the results of [14, 15] is that such backgrounds are completely characterized by the holonomy of $\hat{\nabla}$ connection which can be either $SU(2)$ or $\mathbb{R}^8$, ie $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla})\subseteq SU(2), \mathbb{R}^8$. In what follows, we shall solve the field equations for these backgrounds and we shall obtain a complete description of the solutions.

3 Holonomy $SU(2)$ solutions

3.1 Geometry

The backgrounds with 8 supersymmetries and $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla})\subseteq SU(2)$ [14] admit an orthonormal frame $e^A=(e^a, e^i)$, $a=0,5,1,6,2,7$, $i=3,8,4,9$, such that the six 1-forms e^a and three 2-forms ω_r, $r=1,2,3$

$$
e^a\ ,\quad \omega_r=\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r)_{ij}\, e^i\wedge e^j\ , \tag{3.1}
$$

are $\hat{\nabla}$-parallel, where $(\omega_r)_{ij}=\delta_{ik}(I_r)^k{}_j$ and the three endomorphism I_r satisfy the algebra of imaginary unit quaternions, $I_rI_s=-\delta_{rs}\mathbf{1}_{4\times4}+\epsilon_{rst}I_t$. In addition, e^0 is chosen to be time-like and the other five are space-like.

All the conditions that arise from the Killing spinor equations can be expressed as restrictions on the forms (e^a, ω_r). Introducing a Hermitian basis with respect to I_1, ie $e^i = (e^n, e^{\bar{n}})$, $n = 3, 4$, and $\omega_1 = -i \sum_n e^n \wedge e^{\bar{n}}$, the conditions on the geometry imposed by gravitino and dilatino Killing spinor equations can be expressed as

$$\hat{\nabla}_M e^a_N = 0 \ , \quad \hat{\nabla}_M \omega_r = 0 \ , \tag{3.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&[e_a, e_b]_i = 0 \ , \quad H_{a_1a_2a_3} + \frac{1}{3!}\epsilon_{a_1a_2a_3}{}^{b_1b_2b_3} H_{b_1b_2b_3} = 0 \ , \\
&(de^a)_n{}^n = 0 \ , \quad (de^a)_{mn} = 0 \ , \\
&2\partial_i \Phi - (\theta_{\omega_1})_i = 0 \ , \\
&\partial_a \Phi = 0 \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

respectively, where $M, N = 0, \dots, 9$ are spacetime coordinate indices and the indices of the rest of the formulae have been converted to frame indices using the frame, and $\epsilon_{051627} = 1$. The 1-form θ_{ω_1} is the Lee form associated with ω_1 and its definition can be found in [14].

The first condition in (3.2) implies that the six vector fields e_a dual to the 1-forms e^a are Killing and that the twist de^a of these vector fields is determined in terms of H. This together with $\hat{\nabla}\omega_r = 0$ imply that $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq SU(2)$ as expected. The (3.3) conditions arise from the dilatino Killing spinor equation. In particular, the first two conditions imply that the Lie brackets of any two of e_a vector fields associated to the 1-forms e^a close in the span of the vector fields, ie the six parallel vector fields span a metric Lorentzian Lie algebra. The structure constants of this Lie algebra are the H_{abc} components of H. Moreover, the structure constants are self dual. The 6-dimensional self-dual Lorentzian Lie algebras have been classified [17] and they are isomorphic to

$$\mathbb{R}^{5,1} \ , \quad \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \ , \quad \mathfrak{cw}_6 \ . \tag{3.4}$$

In particular, the non-vanishing Lie algebra relations of $\mathfrak{cw}_6$ are

$$[\mathbf{e}_p, \mathbf{e}_q] = \beta_{pq}\, \mathbf{k} \ , \quad [\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{e}_p] = \beta_{pq}\, \mathbf{e}_q \ , \quad \beta_{pq} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{pq}{}^{p'q'} \beta_{p'q'} \ , \tag{3.5}$$

where β is a constant 2-form $p, q, p', q' = 1, 6, 2, 7$, and the Lorentzian metric is

$$< \mathbf{e}_p, \mathbf{e}_q >= \delta_{pq} \ , \quad < \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{t} >= 1 \ . \tag{3.6}$$

The condition on β arises from the requirement of self-duality of the structure constants of $\mathfrak{cw}_6$.

The conditions on de^a in (3.3) restrict the twist of the parallel vector fields e_a along the e^i directions to be $(1, 1)$ with respect to all three endomorphisms I_r. Observe that $de^a_{ij} = H^a{}_{ij}$. These restrictions will be interpreted as a anti-self-duality condition for a curvature. The condition on the Lee form θ will also be explained later.

The last condition in (3.3) implies that the dilaton is invariant under the parallel vector fields. Since the metric is also invariant, it remains to discuss the invariance of H. The Killing spinor equations alone do not imply that H is invariant. However if H

is closed, then it is straightforward to show that H is also invariant, $\mathcal{L}_a H = 0$. Moreover in a perturbation scenario, assuming that at zeroth order H is invariant, because it is closed, the corrections to dH are constructed from curvature tensors and so are invariant. So it is reasonable to choose H to be invariant as well. It is also the case that

$$i_{e_a}\omega_r = 0 \ , \quad \mathcal{L}_a\omega_r = 0 \ . \tag{3.7}$$

The latter condition follows because ω_r is $\hat{\nabla}$-parallel and that de^a_{ij} is (1,1) with respect to all three I_r.

3.2 Solution of the Killing spinor and field equations

3.2.1 Solution of the Killing spinor equations

Taking H to be invariant under all isometries e_a, the conditions in (3.2) and (3.3) have been interpreted in terms of principal bundles and their connections [14]. In particular provided that the infinitesimal action generated by the $\hat{\nabla}$-parallel vector fields can be integrated to a group action, the spacetime, M, is a *principal bundle* $M = P(B, G; \pi)$, where $\mathfrak{Lie}\, G$ is one of the self-dual algebras in (3.4). It is clear from (3.7) that ω_r are the pull-backs of 2-forms on B and so one can write $\omega_r = \pi^*\tilde{\omega}_r$. As a consequence, the base space B is an HKT 4-dimensional manifold, $(d\tilde{s}^2_{(4)}, \tilde{H})$ equipped with the Hermitian forms $\tilde{\omega}_r$. The condition $\theta = 2d\Phi$ implies that B is *conformally balanced.*

In addition, the principal bundle is equipped with a connection $\lambda^a \equiv e^a$, see eg [25]. The curvature

$$\mathcal{F}^a \equiv d\lambda^a - \frac{1}{2}H^a{}_{bc}\,\lambda^b\lambda^c = \frac{1}{2}H^a{}_{ij}\, e^i \wedge e^j \tag{3.8}$$

of λ^a is (1,1) with respect all the complex structures of the HKT manifold. Now if the orientation[4] of B is chosen with respect to the ω_1 complex structure, then the (1,1) condition on $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to I_r is compatible with the restriction that $\mathcal{F}$ is *anti-self-dual*,

$$\mathcal{F}^a_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ij}{}^{kl}\mathcal{F}^a_{kl} \ , \quad d\mathrm{vol} = \frac{1}{2}\omega_1 \wedge \omega_1 = \frac{1}{4!}\epsilon_{i_1\dots i_4}e^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e^{i_4} \ . \tag{3.9}$$

Given these data, the metric and H of spacetime can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \eta_{ab}\lambda^a\lambda^b + \pi^* d\tilde{s}^2_{(4)} \\ H &= \frac{1}{3}\eta_{ab}\lambda^a \wedge d\lambda^b + \frac{2}{3}\eta_{ab}\lambda^a \wedge \mathcal{F}^b + \pi^*\tilde{H} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where η is a bi-invariant metric on $\mathfrak{Lie}\, G$. We can always choose the frame λ such that η is the standard Lorentzian metric. Observe that H can be written as a sum of the Chern-Simons form of λ and the 3-form on B.

---

[4] The opposite orientation was chosen in [14, 15].

The solution to the Killing spinor equations (3.10) can be simplified further. In particular since B is a *conformally balanced HKT manifold*, there is a function h of B such that[5]

$$d\tilde{s}^2_{(4)} = h\, ds^2_{\rm hk}\ , \quad \tilde{H} = -\star_{\rm hk}\, dh\ , \quad e^{2\Phi} = h\ , \tag{3.11}$$

where $ds^2_{\rm hk}$ is a 4-dimensional hyper-Kähler metric. Since the anti-self-duality condition of the curvature of λ is conformally invariant, λ can be thought of as a anti-self-dual principal bundle connection over the hyper-Kähler manifold B_{hk}. Thus all such solutions of the Killing spinor equations can be constructed from a *hyper-Kähler 4-dimensional manifold* $B_{\rm hk}$ and *a anti-self-dual principal bundle connection on* $B_{\rm hk}$ with gauge group a 6-dimensional Lorentzian group G which has a self-dual Lie algebra (3.4). To summarize, the solution to the Killing spinor equations can be written as in (1.1). To find the explicit solutions, one has to determine the function h.

3.2.2 Solution of the field equations

It remains to solve the field equations to determine h. For this suffices to solve the (anomalous) Bianchi identity for H which can now be written as

$$dH = d\pi^*\tilde{H} + \eta_{ab}\mathcal{F}^a \wedge \mathcal{F}^b = -\frac{\alpha'}{4}\mathrm{tr}(\check{R}^2 - F^2) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)\ . \tag{3.12}$$

Taking H to be closed in the zeroth order in α', the Bianchi identities imply that $\hat{R}_{AB,CD} = \check{R}_{CD,AB}$. Since $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq SU(2)$, the non-vanishing components of $\check{R}$ are $\check{R}_{ij,AB}$ and moreover $\check{R}_{ij,AB}$ is (1,1) with respect to I_r. Similarly, the gaugino Killing spinor equation implies that the non-vanishing components of F are F_{ij} and moreover F is (1,1) with respect to I_r. Using these, we find that

$$-\nabla^2_{\rm hk} h - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{ab}\,\mathcal{F}^a_{ij}\ \mathcal{F}^{bij} = \frac{\alpha'}{8}\big(\mathrm{tr}\check{R}_{ij}\check{R}^{ij} - \mathrm{tr}F_{ij}F^{ij}\big) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)\ , \tag{3.13}$$

where all indicated contractions of i, j indices are with respect to the hyper-Kähler metric. To find a solution, this equation must be solved for the function h.

In what follows, we shall mostly explore solutions for which the $\hat{\nabla}$ connection is identified with the gauge connection A. As a result the curvature square terms in the right-hand-side of (3.13) cancel and there is no anomalous contribution in the Bianchi identity for H, ie $dH = 0$. This identification can always be done if the associated backgrounds are thought as solutions of the common sector of type II supergravities. However some care is required when the $\hat{\nabla}$ connection is identified with A in the context of heterotic strings, see also [20] for a similar discussion. This is because for generic backgrounds $\mathrm{hol}(\check{\nabla}) \subseteq SO(9,1)$ and so it cannot be embedded in the $SO(32)$ or $SO(16)\times SO(16)$ internal symmetry of the fermionic sector of the worldvolume action necessary for the construction of $Spin(32)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ and $E_8 \times E_8$ heterotic strings, respectively. In the explicit examples that we shall consider below for the $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq SU(2)$ class of backgrounds, $\mathrm{hol}(\check{\nabla})$ is always an orthogonal compact group which can be embedded in both $SO(32)$ and $SO(16)\times SO(16)$ and so this difficulty does not arise. We shall give the holonomy of $\mathrm{hol}(\check{\nabla})$ in most examples for completeness.

---

[5] Our form conventions are $l = \frac{1}{k!} l_{i_1\dots i_k} dx^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{i_k}$ and Hodge dual is taken as $\star l_{i_{k+1}\dots i_n} = \frac{1}{k!} l_{i_1\dots i_k}\epsilon^{i_1\dots i_k}{}_{i_{k+1}\dots i_n}$.

4 Trivial principal bundle solutions

4.1 $B_{\rm hk} = \mathbb{R}^4$

4.1.1 WZW models and the self-dual string

Solution

Suppose that the principal bundle connection is trivial. This implies that $\mathcal{F} = 0$ and so one recovers the Maurer-Cartan equations

$$d\lambda^a = \frac{1}{2} H^a{}_{bc} \lambda^b \wedge \lambda^c \ . \tag{4.1}$$

So λ are the left-invariant vector fields on the fibre group G. Moreover suppose that $\check{R} = F = 0$, $\mathrm{hol}(\check{\nabla}) = \{1\}$, so that the contribution from the curvature square terms in (3.13) vanishes. The resulting equation gives

$$\nabla^2_{\rm hk} h = 0 \ . \tag{4.2}$$

One solution of this is to take h constant, eg $h = 1$. In such a case, one finds the solution

$$ds^2 = \eta_{ab}\lambda^a\lambda^b + ds^2(\mathbb{R}^4) \ , \quad H = \frac{1}{6} H_{abc}\lambda^a \wedge \lambda^b \wedge \lambda^c \ , \quad \Phi = \text{const.} \tag{4.3}$$

For the three choices of self-dual Lie algebras (3.4), one finds the Minkowski vacuum solution, $\mathbb{R}^{9,1}$, $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times \mathbb{R}^4$ and $CW_6 \times \mathbb{R}^4$ up to discrete identifications, respectively. Note that $SL(2,\mathbb{R}) = AdS_3$ and $SU(2) = S^3$. All these solutions have constant dilaton.

The $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times \mathbb{R}^4$ solution can be identified as the lifting of the near horizon geometry of the self-dual string to heterotic supergravity. The radius of AdS_3 is equal to that of S^3 because of the self-duality condition. We shall use this later in the M-theoretic interpretation of 5-brane solutions.

The invariant metric on any CW space can be written as

$$ds^2(CW) = 2dv\big(du + \frac{1}{8}\mathbf{y}^t\beta^2\mathbf{y}\, dv\big) + d\mathbf{y}^t d\mathbf{y} \ . \tag{4.4}$$

The solution requires that β is self-dual 2-form. So it can be written as a sum of the three self-dual forms on $\mathbb{R}^4$. As a result,

$$ds^2(CW_6) = 2dv\big(du - \frac{\mu^2}{8}\mathbf{y}^t\mathbf{y}\, dv\big) + d\mathbf{y}^t d\mathbf{y} \ . \tag{4.5}$$

where μ is the length of β. In fact the $CW_6 \times \mathbb{R}^4$ solution preserves 12 supersymmetries [26] and it is the Penrose limit of $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times \mathbb{R}^4$ [27].

Flux quantization

We shall examine the worldvolume theory of strings in such backgrounds in more detail later. Here we remark that since the relative radius of AdS_3 and S^3 in the $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times \mathbb{R}^4$ background is fixed because of the self-duality condition, there is only one overall coupling constant. Moreover appealing to a Dirac quantisation condition

$$\int_{S^3} H = k \ , \tag{4.6}$$

is an integer, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, in some units.

4.1.2 5-branes with flat and curved worldvolume

Flat worldvolume

There are three types of 5-brane solutions with different worldvolume geometry for each choice of Lie algebra (3.4). The solution found in [7] corresponds to the case that $\mathfrak{Lie}\, G = \mathbb{R}^{5,1}$. Allowing for delta function sources and identifying the $\check\nabla$ connection with the gauge connection A, one finds that a solution[6] of (4.2) is

$$h = 1 + \sum_\ell \frac{Q_\ell}{|x - x_\ell|^2} \ . \tag{4.7}$$

Observe that $\mathrm{hol}(\check\nabla) \subseteq SO(4)$. This solution can be rewritten as

$$ds^2 = ds^2(\mathbb{R}^{5,1}) + h\, ds^2(\mathbb{R}^4) \ , \quad H = - \star dh \ , \quad e^{2\Phi} = h \tag{4.8}$$

and it is the multiple 5-brane solution of the heterotic string. The 5-branes are located at the x_ℓ positions in $\mathbb{R}^4$, and $\mathbb{R}^{5,1}$ is the worldvolume.

The solution has two asymptotic regions. As $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, the spacetime approximates the Minkowski vacuum. On the other has as $|x - x_\ell| \rightarrow 0$, ie close to the position of a 5-brane, the solution becomes the WZW model $\mathbb{R}^{5,1} \times S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ with linear dilaton. The dilaton is infinite at $x = x_\ell$, ie string theory is strongly coupled, but this point lies infinite affine distance way from any other point of the spacetime.

The 5-brane charge p, per unit worldvolume, is

$$p = \frac{1}{2\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)} \int_{S^3_\infty} H \tag{4.9}$$

where $\mathrm{Vol}(S^3) = 2\pi^2$ is the volume of unit round S^3 and the integral is over the $S^3_\infty \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ at infinity, $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, of the transverse $\mathbb{R}^4$ to the worldvolume directions of the 5-brane.

A straightforward computation reveals that for the solution (4.8), the 5-brane charge is

$$p = \sum_\ell Q_\ell \ . \tag{4.10}$$

Appealing to the Dirac-like quantization condition, p must also be quantized in some units.

Curved worldvolume

There are two generalizations of the multiple 5-brane solution by replacing the worldvolume $\mathbb{R}^{5,1}$ geometry of the above solution with either $G = AdS_3 \times S^3$ or $G = CW_6$. These solutions are

$$ds^2 = ds^2(G) + h\, ds^2(\mathbb{R}^4) \ , \quad H = \frac{1}{6} H_{abc} \lambda^a \wedge \lambda^b \wedge \lambda^c - \star dh \ , \quad e^{2\Phi} = h \ , \tag{4.11}$$

---

[6] Observe that $h + b_i x^i$ is also a harmonic function if h is. So there is a more general solution which however is not asymptotically Minkowski.

where $\check{\nabla}$ is again identified with the gauge connection A, $\mathrm{hol}(\check{\nabla}) \subseteq SO(4)$, and h is given in (4.7). These solutions have again two asymptotic regions. As $|x| \to \infty$, these solutions become either $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times \mathbb{R}^4$ or $CW_6 \times \mathbb{R}^4$ with constant dilaton. While as $|x - x_\ell| \to 0$, ie near the position of a 5-brane, these solutions become either $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ or $CW_6 \times S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ with linear dilaton. The string coupling becomes infinite at the position of the 5-brane but this position is at infinite affine distance away. String theory is again strongly coupled at the position of the 5-brane.

The solution (4.11) has the properties of a 5-brane with curved $AdS_3 \times S^3$ or CW_6 worldvolume. This is supported from the asymptotic conditions. The 5-brane charge per unit worldvolume is again $p = \sum_\ell Q_\ell$ for the (4.11) solution and h as in (4.7). Observe that the size of the worldvolume geometry does not depend on the transverse coordinate x. In the $AdS_3 \times S^3$ case, one may also consider the flux (4.6) which is again quantized in some units.

4.2 General hyper-Kähler $B_{\rm hk}$

4.2.1 WZW models and compactifications

It is clear from (4.2) that h can taken to be a constant for any hyper-Kähler 4-manifold $B_{\rm hk}$. As a result, one can find the solutions

$$\mathbb{R}^{5,1} \times B_{\rm hk} \ , \quad AdS_3 \times S^3 \times B_{\rm hk} \ , \quad CW_6 \times B_{\rm hk} \ . \tag{4.12}$$

Choosing $B_{\rm hk} = K_3$ or T^4, $\mathbb{R}^{5,1} \times K_3$ and $\mathbb{R}^{5,1} \times T^4$ can be interpreted as the vacua of a K_3 and T^4 compactification of the heterotic string to six dimensions, respectively. Similarly $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times K_3$ and $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^3$ can be interpreted as the vacua of an AdS_3 compactification of the heterotic string on $S^3 \times K_3$ and $S^3 \times T^4$, respectively. In all the above backgrounds the $\check{\nabla}$ connection is identified with the gauge one A and $\mathrm{hol}(\check{\nabla}) \subseteq SO(4)$. Of course $B_{\rm hk}$ can be chosen to be non-compact. In such a case for any choice of a 4-dimensional hyper-Kähler manifold, we get a new solution.

4.2.2 5-branes with flat and curved worldvolume in hyper-Kähler manifolds

The solution (4.11) can be generalized by replacing $\mathbb{R}^4$ with any other 4-dimensional hyper-Kähler manifold $B_{\rm hk}$. In such a case, h satisfies (4.2) where the harmonic condition is with respect to the hyper-Kähler metric on $B_{\rm hk}$. The resulting solution is

$$ds^2 = ds^2(G) + h\, ds^2(B_{\rm hk}) \ , \quad H = \frac{1}{6} H_{abc} \lambda^a \wedge \lambda^b \wedge \lambda^c - \star_{\rm hk} dh \ , \quad e^{2\Phi} = h \ , \tag{4.13}$$

where $G = \mathbb{R}^{5,1}$, $AdS_3 \times S^3$ or CW_6. This solution has the interpretation as 5-branes located on a 4-dimensional hyper-Kähler manifold with worldvolume geometry G. However, the explicit expression for h depends on the choice of hyper-Kähler metric, and so explicit solutions can be given only on a case by case basis. In all these cases the $\check{\nabla}$ connection can be identified with the gauge one in the context of heterotic string since $\mathrm{hol}(\check{\nabla}) \subseteq SO(4)$. It is straightforward to construct explicit solutions in some cases. For example an explicit solution can be found if the hyper-Kähler metric is chosen to be the Gibbons-Hawking metric.

5 Non-trivial principal bundle solutions

5.1 Principal bundle connections

Before we proceed to construct solutions with an abelian and a non-abelian principal bundle connection λ, we shall first express λ in terms of standard vector bundle connection C. This strictly is not necessary since principal bundle connection theory is well established [25]. However, the analysis may look more familiar in terms of vector bundle connections. Indeed given C a vector bundle connection, write the local expression

$$\lambda = -g^{-1}dg + g^{-1}Cg \tag{5.1}$$

where g is a function of the principal bundle with values on the gauge group G. Since C takes values in the Lie algebra of the gauge group, it is clear that λ is also $\mathfrak{Lie}\, G$-algebra valued. Moreover as required, it transforms under the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{Lie}\, G$ under the right action of G on the spacetime. Restricting l on the fibre G, one recovers the left-invariant 1-forms of the group G. Next, the curvature

$$\mathcal{F} = dl - l \wedge l \tag{5.2}$$

of λ is

$$\mathcal{F} = g^{-1}(dC - C \wedge C)g = g^{-1}F(C)g \ , \tag{5.3}$$

where $F(C)$ is the curvature of the connection C. Therefore the curvature of the principal bundle $\mathcal{F}$ is related to the curvature of connection C up to a gauge transformation. Observe that if G is abelian, then (5.1) can be simplified. For example if $G = U(1)$, taking $g = e^{-i\theta}$, then $l = i(d\theta + C)$, where C is locally a real 1-form. Such principal bundle connections appear in black hole solutions with rotation or brane solutions with wrapping on a torus.

It is now clear that since the metric η on $\mathfrak{Lie}\, G$ is bi-invariant, one can replace $\mathcal{F}$ in the the equations (3.12) and (3.13) with $F(C)$. The gauge transformation g is eliminated from gauge invariant expressions like the first Pontryagin class. So to find explicit solutions, it is sufficient to begin from a anti-self-dual connection C and then use the formula (5.1) to construct the principal bundle connection.

As a final remark on the relation between principal bundle λ and vector bundle C connections, it is customary in some parts of the physics literature, instead of using λ, to use

$$\rho \equiv g\lambda g^{-1} = -dgg^{-1} + C \tag{5.4}$$

where dgg^{-1} are identified with the *right-invariant* 1-forms of G. Moreover typically dgg^{-1} are explicitly expressed in terms of the coordinates of G. Since ρ and λ are related up to a conjugation all quantities of λ can be expressed equally well in terms of ρ. However, we shall continue the analysis in terms of λ because the geometric interpretation of both the metric of the spacetime and the 3-form field strength is more transparent in terms of λ.

5.2 Non-abelian connections and $B_{\rm hk} = \mathbb{R}^4$

5.2.1 Instantons

Before we proceed to explicitly construct supergravity solutions for $B_{\rm hk} = \mathbb{R}^4$, we shall summarize some properties of $SU(2)$ instantons. In fact the relevant instanton solutions are those for the gauge group $SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times SU(2)$. It is not apparent though that there are non-abelian anti-self-dual connections for the gauge group $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$, so we shall consider non-abelian connections only for the $SU(2)$ subgroup.

Such connections can be constructed using the t'Hooft ansatz which can be described as follows. On $\mathbb{R}^4$ there are two commuting (constant) hyper-complex structures I_r and J_r, ie

$$I_r I_s = -\delta_{rs}\mathbf{1}_{4\times4} + \epsilon_{rst} I_t \ , \quad J_r J_s = -\delta_{rs}\mathbf{1}_{4\times4} + \epsilon_{rst} J_t \ , \quad [I_r, J_s] = 0 \tag{5.5}$$

which can be constructed from a basis of self dual and anti-self-dual 2-forms, respectively. It is well known that $\mathfrak{so}(4) = \mathfrak{so}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3)$ and I_r and J_r span the two $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ subalgebras, respectively. Next consider $\mathbb{R}^4$ as a hyper-Kähler manifold with respect to I_r, and write

$$C^r_i = (I_r)^j{}_i \partial_j \log f \ , \tag{5.6}$$

for some function f of $\mathbb{R}^4$ which must be determined. The anti-self-duality condition on $F(C)$, which is equivalent to requiring that $F(C)$ is (1,1) and traceless with respect to I_1, implies that

$$\frac{1}{f}\,\partial^2 f = 0 \tag{5.7}$$

Thus a solution for f is

$$f = 1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\nu} \frac{\rho_\ell^2}{|x - x_\ell|^2} \ , \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^4 \ , \tag{5.8}$$

This is the ν-instantons solution with the ℓ-th instanton located at the pole x_ℓ and ρ_ℓ^2 is identified with its size.

These solutions though do not have the correct asymptotic behaviour and are singular. In particular $F(C)$ diverges at the positions of the instantons. However after a singular gauge transformation, the 1-instanton configuration can be rewritten as

$$C^r_i = 2(J_r)_{ij} \frac{x^j}{|x|^2 + \rho^2} \ , \tag{5.9}$$

where translation invariance has been used to locate the instanton at the origin in $\mathbb{R}^4$.

The instanton configurations are characterized by their first Pontryagin number or instanton number,

$$\nu = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int \delta_{rs}\, F^r \wedge F^s \ . \tag{5.10}$$

In particular, for the 1-instanton configuration $\nu = 1$.

5.2.2 Wrapped 5-branes on S^3

To find the solutions associated with the non-trivial $SU(2)$ principal bundle, we begin by considering the instanton solution (5.6) for f given in (5.8). A direct computation reveals that

$$-\frac{1}{2}\delta_{rs}\,\mathcal{F}^r_{ij}\;\mathcal{F}^{sij} = -\frac{1}{2}\delta_{rs}F^r(C)_{ij}F^s(C)^{ij} = \partial^2\partial^2\log f\ . \tag{5.11}$$

Substituting this into the equation for h (3.13), observing that $\mathrm{hol}(\check{\nabla}) \subseteq SO(7)$, and identifying the $\check{\nabla}$ with the connection A, we have that

$$-\partial^2 h + \partial^2\partial^2\log f = 0\ . \tag{5.12}$$

Clearly, if one allows for delta function sources, then h is not uniquely determined in terms of f. In particular, one finds that

$$h = h_0 + \partial^2\log f\ ,\quad h_0 = s + \sum_n \frac{Q_n}{|x-x_n|^2}\ , \tag{5.13}$$

where $s = 1$ or $s = 0$.

Let us investigate the one instanton case with size ρ^2 in more detail. To begin consider the special case for which

$$h = 1 + \frac{4}{|x|^2} + \partial^2\log f = 1 + 4\frac{|x|^2+2\rho^2}{(|x|^2+\rho^2)^2}\ ,\quad f = 1 + \frac{\rho^2}{|x|^2}\ , \tag{5.14}$$

receives contributions both from the instanton, f, and h_0. This is precisely the expression for h that one would find if the smooth instanton solution (5.9) was used to calculate the Pontryagin class.

The resulting supergravity solution given by substituting (5.14) in (1.1) is smooth. Moreover it has one asymptotic region $AdS_3\times S^3\times\mathbb{R}^4$ as $|x|\to\infty$. Integrating H on the asymptotic 3-sphere $S^3_\infty\subset\mathbb{R}^4$, one detects 5-brane charge

$$p = 4\ . \tag{5.15}$$

So the smooth $\nu = 1$ instanton gives rise to 4 units of 5-brane change. It is not apparent why this is the case but this result arises from the standard normalization for 5-brane and instanton charges.

Furthermore the geometry of the solution associated with (5.14) at $|x|\to 0$ is again $AdS_3\times S^3\times\mathbb{R}^4$ but this region is not asymptotic. This is because it can be reached in finite proper distance from any other region of spacetime apart from that for which $|x|\to\infty$. The dilaton is bounded on the spacetime and so there are no strongly coupled regions. These asymptotic conditions are reminiscent of those of dyonic 5-brane backgrounds [28] which preserve 1/4 of supersymmetry.

It is clear that the spacetime of the solution (1.1) for h given in (5.14) is a product $M = AdS_3\times X_7$, where X_7 is a non-trivial $SU(2)$-principal bundle over $\mathbb{R}^4$. The size of the S^3 fibre is the same at every point of $\mathbb{R}^4$. The isometries of X_7 are $SU(2)\times SO(4)$.

So the symmetry group of the whole solution is $SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times SU(2) \times SO(4)$. Observe that if the instanton connection vanishes and $X_7 = S^3 \times \mathbb{R}^4$, the isometry group is $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times SO(4)$. The presence of an instaton breaks some of the isometries of the background.

Adding further 5-branes to (5.14) as

$$h = 1 + 4\frac{|x|^2 + 2\rho^2}{(|x|^2 + \rho^2)^2} + \frac{Q}{|x|^2} \ , \tag{5.16}$$

one finds another solution with the same asymptotic geometry at $|x| \to \infty$. But now at the position of the 5-brane there is another asymptotic region with geometry $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ and a linear dilaton. The brane charge detected at $|x| \to \infty$ is $p = 4 + Q$. This solutions has the same symmetries as that given for h in (5.14). Of course (5.16) can be further generalized by adding additional 5-branes located at different positions in $\mathbb{R}^4$.

5.2.3 ADHM construction and 5-branes

One can generalize the previous example to multi-instanton solutions using the ADHM construction [29]. The simplest case to describe is that of ν instantons for the gauge group $Sp(r)$. For this consider a $(\nu + r) \times r$ matrix V of quaternions which satisfy the linear relation

$$\Delta^\dagger V = 0 \tag{5.17}$$

where

$$\Delta = a + bx \ , \tag{5.18}$$

a and b are $(\nu + r) \times \nu$ matrices of quaternions, $x \in \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^4$ are the coordinates of the base space $\mathbb{R}^4$ and the conjugation indicated is matrix transpose followed by quaternionic conjugation. The gauge potential is $C = V^\dagger dV$, where the differentiation is with respect to x. After some computation, one finds that

$$-\frac{1}{2}\delta_{rs}\,\mathcal{F}^r_{ij}\,\mathcal{F}^{sij} = -\frac{1}{2}\delta_{rs}F^r(C)_{ij}F^s(C)^{ij} = \partial^2\partial^2 \mathrm{Tr}\log \mathrm{f} \ , \tag{5.19}$$

where f is the $\nu \times \nu$ matrix

$$\mathrm{f} = \Delta^\dagger\Delta = a^\dagger a + a^+ bx + x^\dagger b^\dagger a + x^\dagger b^\dagger bx \ . \tag{5.20}$$

To construct new solutions (1.1) based on the $SU(2)$ ν-instanton connection given by the ADHM construction, substitute (5.19) in (3.13)to find

$$h = s + \partial^2 \mathrm{Tr}\log \mathrm{f} \ , \quad s = 0, 1 \ , \tag{5.21}$$

This is the multi-instaton generalization of (5.14), $s = 1$. The 1-instanton case for the gauge group $SU(2) = Sp(1)$ that we have given in (5.14) can be recovered for

$$\Delta^\dagger = (\rho, x^\dagger) \tag{5.22}$$

where ρ is a quaternion with a real only component. Observe that the ADHM construction gives the smooth instanton solutions with the expected asymptotic conditions without the need of a singular gauge transformation.

It is likely that the supergravity solution (1.1) associated with (5.21) is smooth and the dilaton is bounded everywhere on spacetime. However, we have not been able to show that $h > 0$ for $\nu > 1$. As $|x| \to \infty$ and for $s = 1$, the spacetime becomes $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times \mathbb{R}^4$. The 5-brane charge detected at infinity, $s = 1$, is $p = 4\nu$. If instead $s = 0$, as $|x| \to \infty$, the spacetime becomes $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$. This is a linear dilaton background.

The solution (1.1) associated with in (5.21) has continuous moduli which can be identified with that of $SU(2)$ instantons. In particular, it will depend on $8\nu - 3$ parameters. The spacetime is again a product $SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times X_7$. For a generic ν instanton connection, the isometry group of X_7 is $SU(2)$. So the symmetry group of the whole background is $SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times SU(2)$. Enhancement of symmetry can occur if the positions of the instantons and their relative orientations are chosen appropriately.

A more general solution can be constructed by adding 5-branes located at different points in $\mathbb{R}^4$. In particular, one can solve (3.13) as

$$h = s + \partial^2 \mathrm{Tr} \log \mathrm{f} + \sum_{n=1}^{\kappa} \frac{Q_n}{|x - x_n|^2} \ , \quad s = 0, 1 \ , \tag{5.23}$$

where f is given in (5.20). Apart from the asymptotic regions we have mentioned above as $|x| \to \infty$ for (5.21), this new solution has new asymptotic regions at the positions of the 5-branes $|x - x_n| \to 0$. The geometry of the spacetime near the positions of the 5-branes becomes $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$. This is a linear dilaton background. The 5-brane charge detected at infinity, $|x| \to \infty$, $s = 1$, is $p = 4\nu + \sum_n Q_n$.

The solution (1.1) associated with in (5.23) has continuous moduli that of ν $SU(2)$ instantons. In addition the positions of the 5-branes are new moduli. So it depends of $8\nu + 4\kappa - 3$ parameters. The symmetry group of a generic solution is again $SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times SU(2)$.

5.2.4 5-brane probes

As further evidence for the interpretation of (5.14), we can do a probe computation. In particular consider a 5-brane probe which is embedded in spacetime by identifying the worldvolume probe coordinates with the worldvolume coordinates $AdS_3 \times S^3$ of the solution (5.14). Moreover, take the transverse coordinates x of the spacetime to be constant. The induced metric on the probe worldvolume is that the $AdS_3 \times S^3$. Moreover such a probe is supersymmetric. This follows immediately from the kappa-symmetry condition [31, 32, 33]

$$\Gamma \epsilon = \epsilon \ , \tag{5.24}$$

where Γ is the kappa symmetry projector of the 5-brane and ϵ is a Killing spinor. For the embedding described above, the kappa symmetry condition gives

$$\Gamma_{051627} \epsilon = \epsilon \ . \tag{5.25}$$

All Killing spinors of the supergravity background (5.14) satisfy this kappa-symmetry condition and so the embedding is supersymmetric.

5.2.5 Abelian connections

The construction described above for non-abelian connections can be easily extended to abelian ones. If $B_{\rm hk} = \mathbb{R}^4$, abelian anti-self-dual connections can be constructed by writing

$$C_i = (I_r)^j{}_i \partial_j f^r \tag{5.26}$$

and requiring that f^r are harmonic functions. Such solutions typically will be singular at the positions of the harmonic functions f^r. One can make superposition of abelian and non-abelian gaugings. For example, one can consider principal bundles with a non-abelian $SU(2)$ connection but only gauging an abelian subgroup of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$. The gauging of a time-like direction in $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ is of particular interest because it allows the construction of smooth solutions for the cases that $B_{\rm hk}$ is a compact hyper-Kähler manifold. However, this may lead to a Lorentzian holonomy for $\check{\nabla}$.

5.2.6 General hyper-Kähler manifolds

It is clear that the construction of solutions presented above can be generalized to any 4-dimensional hyper-Kähler manifold $B_{\rm hk}$. Let us consider the case that $B_{\rm hk}$ is compact. To find a solution, one has to solve the equation

$$\nabla^2_{\rm hk} h = -\frac{1}{2} \eta_{ab} \mathcal{F}^a_{ij}\, \mathcal{F}^{bij} \tag{5.27}$$

for h. A partial integration formula easily reveals that there is no smooth solution for h unless

$$\int_{B_{\rm hk}} \eta_{ab} \mathcal{F}^a \wedge \mathcal{F}^b = 0 \ . \tag{5.28}$$

Assuming that we have only an non-trivial $SU(2)$ connection, this condition cannot be met because the integral is proportional to the instanton number which is not zero.

To construct smooth solutions the contribution of the $SU(2)$ instanton must be canceled. For this, we allow for rotation, ie for an abelian connection along a time-like direction in $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$. Since η is a Lorentzian metric, the consistency condition is now written as

$$-\int_{B_{\rm hk}} \mathcal{F}^0 \wedge \mathcal{F}^0 + \sum_{r=1}^{3} \int_{B_{\rm hk}} \mathcal{F}^r \wedge \mathcal{F}^r = 0 \ . \tag{5.29}$$

Because of the relative minus sign, the $U(1)$ instanton can be chosen to cancel the contribution from the $SU(2)$ instantons. However now the holonomy of $\check{\nabla}$ may be Lorentzian and so $\check{\nabla}$ cannot be identified with the gauge connection in the context of the heterotic string. In such a case, the contribution of the curvature square terms in (3.13) should be taken into account. The condition for the existence of a $U(1)$ instanton connection is

$$\int_{B_{\rm hk}} \mathcal{F}^0 \wedge \omega_{\rm hk} = 0 \ . \tag{5.30}$$

This can be generalized to the $SU(2)$ instantons and involves the notion of stable vector bundles [30]. In addition of course (5.29) must be satisfied. Alternatively, one can add appropriate sources in the right hand side of (5.27) (anti 5-branes) to cancel the contribution from the $SU(2)$ instantons.

6 Type II and M-theory solutions

6.1 Type IIB

All solutions that we have presented here for which $dH = 0$ can be considered as solutions of type II supergravity theories as well. Generic such solutions in type II will preserve 1/4 of the supersymmetry, ie 8 supercharges. However special solutions can preserve more supersymmetry.

In type IIB, the generic solutions we have constructed have the interpretation as wrapped NS5-branes on G with transverse space any 4-dimensional hyper-Kähler manifold. After an S-duality, the generic solution becomes a wrapped D5-brane on G with transverse space any 4-dimensional hyper-Kähler manifold. In particular, the S-dual of the solution given in (1.1) is

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= h^{-\frac{1}{2}}\eta_{ab}l^a l^b + h^{\frac{1}{2}}ds^2(B_{hk}) \ , \qquad e^{2\Phi} = h^{-1} \ , \\ H_{RR} &= \frac{1}{3}\eta_{ab}\lambda^a \wedge d\lambda^b + \frac{2}{3}\eta_{ab}\lambda^a \wedge \mathcal{F}^b - \star_{hk} dh \ , \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

where now H_{RR} is the Ramond-Ramond 3-form field strength.

6.2 Type IIA and M-theory

6.2.1 Intersecting branes

To give an M-theoretic interpretation of the solutions we have constructed, we shall first explain some aspects of intersecting branes, see [34] for the supergravity solutions. It is not apparent what the structure is of a supergravity solution which has the interpretation as a fully localized brane intersection[7]. A minimal expectation is that one should be able to locate the branes as well as their asymptotic regions that are involved in the intersection from data provided by the solution. For simplicity and for the application that we have in mind, suppose one wants to describe the fully localized M2-brane intersection with an M5-brane. In particular, it has been argued in [37] that M2-branes end on M5-branes on a self-dual string[8]. One way to fulfill the above stated requirements is to be able to define two distance functions $\delta_{M2} \geq 0$ and $\delta_{M5} \geq 0$ on the spacetime which describe the distances of spacetime points from the location $\delta_{M2} = 0$ and $\delta_{M5} = 0$ of the

---

[7] Ansatze for the construction of supergravity solutions with an interpretation as brane intersections were initially proposed in [34]. For a more recent detailed account see [35] where indirect arguments and physical intuition, but not a systematic construction, are used to find solutions.

[8] A partially localized solution with the interpretation of a M2-brane intersecting a M5-brane on a self-dual string can be found in [36].

M2 and M5 branes, respectively. In addition consider

$$\delta_{M2\perp M5} = \sqrt{\delta^2_{M2} + \delta^2_{M5}}\ , \qquad \Delta = \frac{\delta_{M2}}{\delta_{M5}}\ . \tag{6.2}$$

One can then give the following definitions of some regions of spacetime as follows:

- Near horizon region of the self-dual string: $\delta_{M2\perp M5}$ small, Δ finite
- Overall transverse: $\delta_{M2\perp M5}$ large, Δ finite
- Near horizon of the M2-brane: δ_{M2} small, $\Delta << 1$
- Near horizon of the M5-brane: δ_{M5} small, $\Delta^{-1} << 1$

There are other regions that one can define like those that are asymptotic to either M2- or M5- branes. Under the assumptions we have made, one finds that apart from the near horizon geometry of the common intersection there are other geometries that appear in the fully localized M2- with M5-brane intersection, like that of the near M2-brane geometry. This is simply the region of spacetime which is near the location of the M2-brane. Similarly, one can argue for a near M5-brane geometry.

6.2.2 Near $M2$-brane geometry and brane intersections

The background (1.1) viewed as solution of IIA supergravity can be easily lifted to 11-dimensional supergravity. In particular, the the 11-dimensional background associated with (1.1) for h given in (5.14) is

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_{(11)} &= h^{-\frac{1}{3}}\ \eta_{ab} l^a l^b + h^{\frac{2}{3}} [ds^2(\mathbb{R}^4) + dy^2] \\ F &= H \wedge dy\ , \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

where y is the 11th coordinate. Recall that for this solution $G = AdS_3 \times S^3$. The claim is that (6.3) describes the near M2-brane geometry of an M2 brane ending on an M5-brane on the self-dual string. We choose $\delta_{M5} = r$. As $r << 1$, δ_{M5} becomes of the order of δ_{M2}, ie Δ is finite and $\delta_{M2\perp M5} << 1$. This region is the near horizon region of the self-dual intersection. The geometry of the spacetime in this region is $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times \mathbb{R}^5$ which lies in a finite affine distance from the non-asymptotic points of the spacetime. This is the expected geometry of the self-dual strings that lie at the intersection.

To continue, we take the 11th coordinate as one of the worldvolume coordinates of the M2 brane which is transverse to the M5-brane. Since this is claimed to be a near M2-brane geometry, one may expect to find some signs of the $AdS_4 \times S^7$ near horizon geometry of the M2-brane. Now the AdS_3 part of the spacetime is expected to include the distance function δ_{M2} of the M2-brane as a coordinate. So the remaining 3 coordinates of S^3 and the 4 coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^4$ must give a description of S^7. This seems to be the case because S^7 can be viewed as fibration $S^3 \to S^7 \to S^4$. Moreover this is the instanton bundle, ie the curvature of a principal bundle connection is anti-self-dual and has instaton number 1. Of course both the AdS_4 and S^7 geometries of the near horizon geometry of the M2-brane are distorted because of the presence of the M5-brane.

We do not have a proof that (6.3) is the most general solution of this type. A concern is that (6.3) is delocalized in the y direction. So there may be a more general solution of 11-dimensional supergravity which describes the near M2-brane geometry of an M2-brane ending on an M5-brane. However such solution will have more active fields and it will not be a solution of the type II common sector alone.

7 Worldvolume theory

7.1 Gauged sigma models with WZ term

To understand the worldvolume theory of the $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq SU(2)$ class of solutions and in particular the presence of the Pontryagin class of the principal bundle connection in the expression for dH, we shall summarize briefly the gauging of a sigma model with a WZ term [38], for the supersymmetric case see [39]. The gauging which is relevant for the $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq SU(2)$ class of solutions is that for which the sigma model target space is the fibre G of the principal bundle spacetime $M = P(G, B; \pi)$.

To begin, we consider a sigma model with target space N equipped with metric g and a Wess-Zumino term W, $dW = 0$. Moreover, we assume that N admits a group action which leaves both g and W invariant. In the standard analysis for the gauging of such a system, the worldvolume dimension is two. Here, we shall weaken this assumption, and we shall take the worldvolume dimension to be n.

The action before gauging is

$$S = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma d^n\sigma g_{pq}\,\partial_\mu X^p \partial^\mu X^q + \int_{D\subset\Sigma} W_{pqr}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}\partial_\mu X^p \partial_\nu X^q \partial_\rho X^r \ , \tag{7.1}$$

where Σ is the worldvolume and D is a 3-dimensional subset of Σ.

To begin, let us consider the minimal coupling of W term. This gives

$$W = \frac{1}{6}W_{pqr}(dX^p + C^{a_1}\xi^p_{a_1}) \wedge (dX^q + C^{a_2}\xi^q_{a_2}) \wedge (dX^r + C^{a_3}\xi^r_{a_3}) \ , \tag{7.2}$$

where ξ are the Killing vector fields that also leave W invariant, and C is a connection which gauges the isometries. Taking the exterior derivative of W, one finds

$$dW = \frac{1}{2}\xi^p_a H_{pqr} F(C)^a \wedge (dX^q + C^{a_2}\xi^q_{a_2}) \wedge (dX^r + C^{a_3}\xi^r_{a_3}) \ . \tag{7.3}$$

To preserve closure, one has to add non-minimal coupling as

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \frac{1}{6}W_{pqr}(dX^p + C^{a_1}\xi^p_{a_1}) \wedge (dX^q + C^{a_2}\xi^q_{a_2}) \wedge (dX^r + C^{a_3}\xi^r_{a_3}) \\ &- u_{ap}(dX^p + C^b\xi^p_b) \wedge F(C)^a \end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

where

$$i_{\xi_a}W = du_a \ . \tag{7.5}$$

Taking again the exterior derivative, one finds that

$$dW = -c_{ab}F(C)^a \wedge F(C)^b \ , \qquad c_{ab} = \xi^M_{(a}u_{b)M} \tag{7.6}$$

It can be shown that c is constant. If $c \neq 0$, the gauging is considered anomalous. For more details on the anomalies that arise in the gauging of the Wess-Zumino term of sigma models see [38] and for their relation to equivariant cohomology see [40].

It is clear that (7.6) is reminiscent to that of (3.12). To make a connection with the $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq SU(2)$ class of solutions precise, suppose that the sigma model target manifold N is a group manifold G, $N = G$, and $\mathfrak{Lie}G$ is $\mathbb{R}^{5,1}$, $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$ or $\mathfrak{cw}_6$. Moreover, we gauge the right action of G on G. The vector fields which generate this action are the left invariant vector fields and $c_{ab} = -\eta_{ab}$.

It remains to explain the relation between the connections λ and C, and the role of the base space B of $M = P(G, B; \pi)$. The connection C that we have introduced to gauge the sigma model should not be thought of as a worldvolume field. Instead, it should be considered as a composite field which depend of the scalars of the base manifold B. Now the base manifold has also a Wess-Zumino term $\tilde{H}$ which is invariant, $\mathcal{L}_{\xi^a}\tilde{H} = 0$, and $i_{\xi^a}\tilde{H} = 0$, so $\tilde{H}$ has trivial gauging. Now taking $H = W + \pi^*\tilde{H}$, where W is given in (7.4), and evaluating dH, we recover (3.12). Furthermore, the minimal coupling of the kinetic term of sigma model action (7.1), the identification of $N = G$, and the addition of the metric of the base space B, which has trivial gauging, reproduce the metric of the spacetime of the $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq SU(2)$ class of solutions.

7.2 Conserved currents and worldvolume supersymmetry

7.2.1 (1,1) supersymmetry

Suppose that the gauge connection A is identified with $\check{\nabla}$, and so $dH = 0$. In such a case, the associated worldvolume theory of all generic backgrounds with $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq SU(2)$ has (1,1) supersymmetry. Two-dimensional supersymmetric sigma models have been extensively investigated before [41, 42, 43, 18]. Here we follow [18]. To describe the worldvolume theory introduce the worldvolume coordinates $(\sigma^{\ddagger}, \sigma^{=}, \theta^+, \theta^-)$, where $(\sigma^{\ddagger}, \sigma^{=})$ are even lightcone and (θ^+, θ^-) are odd coordinates, respectively, and the usual odd superspace derivatives D_- and D_+, $D_-^2 = i\partial_=$, $D_+^2 = i\partial_{\ddagger}$. Let g be the spacetime metric of the $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq SU(2)$ backgrounds. Since $dH = 0$, $H = db$, the worldvolume action written in terms of (1,1) superfields is

$$S = \int d^2\sigma d\theta^+ d\theta^- (g + b)_{MN} D_+X^M D_-X^N \ , \tag{7.7}$$

where the spacetime coordinates x^M have been promoted to worldvolume superfields $X^M(\sigma^{\ddagger}, \sigma^{=}, \theta^+, \theta^-)$. The components of the superfield X are

$$X^M = X^M| \ , \quad \psi^M_- = D_-X^M| \ , \quad \psi^M_+ = D_+X^M| \ . \tag{7.8}$$

The field equations are

$$\hat{\nabla}_- D_+ X^M = 0 \ . \tag{7.9}$$

It is easy to see, that in addition to the energy momentum tensor, and the (1,0) and (0,1) supersymmetry currents, for every $\hat{\nabla}$-parallel form there is a conserved current. In particular, the "elementary" conserved currents of generic backgrounds are

$$\begin{aligned} &T_{++} = g_{MN} D_+ X^M D_+ X^N \ , \quad T_{--} = g_{MN} D_- X^M D_- X^N \ , \\ &J^a = l^a_M D_+ X^M \ , \quad U^r = \omega^r_{MN} D_+ X^M D_+ X^N \ . \end{aligned} \tag{7.10}$$

Non-generic backgrounds may have additional currents. As we shall mention later these may lead to the integrability of the string dynamics.

7.2.2 Ultraviolet finiteness

One of the issues that arises in the worldvolume theory is whether the associated sigma model is ultraviolet finite. Since the worldvolume theory of generic backgrounds has manifest (1,1) supersymmetry, it is not sufficient to guarantee ultraviolet finiteness. For this, the worldvolume supersymmetry has to be enhanced to (4,1) [18]. In turn (4,1) supersymmetry requires the spacetime admits a hyper-complex structure.

As we have already explained the spacetime M of generic $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq SU(2)$ backgrounds admits a hyper-complex type of structure, I_r, which is $\hat{\nabla}$-parallel. However, the endomorhisms I_r are *degenerate* and so cannot be thought of as complex structures on M. Moreover since M is Lorentzian, it does not admit complex structures which are hermitian with the respect the Lorentzian metric. Nevertheless, we shall provide an indirect argument that the worldvolume theory of some of our backgrounds are ultraviolet finite. First, all these models are finite up to two loops. This can be shown by direct observation using the identification of the $\check{\nabla}$ connection with the gauge connection. Next we restrict our analysis to the wrapped on $AdS_3 \times S^3$ 5-brane solutions. First observe that $M = P(SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times SU(2), B_{\mathrm{hk}}; \pi) = SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times P(SU(2), B_{\mathrm{hk}}; \pi)$. The fields along $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ decouple from the rest of the theory. So the question is whether the sigma model on $P(SU(2), B_{\mathrm{hk}}; \pi)$ is ultraviolet finite.

To find whether the sigma model on $P(SU(2), B_{\mathrm{hk}}; \pi)$ is ultraviolet finite consider instead the sigma model on $P(SU(2) \times U(1), B_{\mathrm{hk}}; \pi) = P(SU(2), B_{\mathrm{hk}}; \pi) \times U(1)$, ie add an additional free superfield X in the sigma model on $P(SU(2), B_{\mathrm{hk}}; \pi)$. It turns out now that $P(SU(2) \times U(1), B_{\mathrm{hk}}; \pi)$ admits a $\hat{\nabla}$-parallel hyper-complex structure given by

$$\Omega^r = \zeta^r_{a'b'} \lambda^{a'} \wedge \lambda^{b'} + \omega^r \ , \tag{7.11}$$

where ζ^r is the hypercomplex structure on $U(1) \times SU(2) = S^1 \times S^3$. It is well known that $S^1 \times S^3$ is an HKT manifold. Consequently the sigma model on $P(SU(2) \times U(1), B_{\mathrm{hk}}; \pi)$ has (4,1) world volume supersymmetry and so it is ultraviolet finite as a consequence of the results of [18]. Since the $P(SU(2), B_{\mathrm{hk}}; \pi)$ differs from $P(SU(2) \times U(1), B_{\mathrm{hk}}; \pi)$ up to the addition of a free superfield, which does not affect the interactions, the sigma model on $P(SU(2), B_{\mathrm{hk}}; \pi)$ is also ultraviolet finite. Thus all wrapped 5-brane solutions on $AdS_3 \times S^3$ are ultraviolet finite. We do not have an argument which generalizes to the rest of solutions. However, it is likely that all backgrounds of the class $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq SU(2)$ which are associated with a (1,1)-supersymmetric worldvolume theory are ultraviolet finite.

7.3 String integrability

As we have argued a large class of the supersymmetric heterotic backgrounds with 8 supersymmetries, and possibly all, are ultraviolet finite. So, the question that arises is whether string theory can be solved on such backgrounds. With this we mean to solve the classical embedding equation of a string in such backgrounds, quantize the theory and construct the Hilbert space of string states. This does not appear to be the case for all backgrounds. For example, string theory has not been solved on the standard 5-brane background of [7]. Generic background do not appear to admit sufficient conserved charges (7.10) that may lead to integrability. Indeed although the theory has a Kac-Moody algebra as a symmetry, it does not have two copies of it as in the case of other integrable models like the WZW ones. Nevertheless, string theory is integrable on some special backgrounds which in some cases appear as limits of generic ones. These special backgrounds are

$$G\times B_{hk}~,\quad G\times S^3\times \mathbb{R}~;\quad B_{hk}=\mathbb{R}^4~,\quad G=\mathbb{R}^{5,1}~,\quad AdS_3\times S^3~,\quad CW_6~,\tag{7.12}$$

up to discrete identifications. One can take B_{hk} to be another hyper-Kähler manifold instead of $\mathbb{R}^4$, or its discrete identification T^4, but this choice will suffice in what follows.

All backgrounds $G\times\mathbb{R}^4$, $B_{hk}=\mathbb{R}^4$, are either the 10-dimensional Minkowski space or a product of a WZW model with flat space. Moreover the dilaton is constant. The solution of string theory on such backgrounds follows from that on flat spaces and WZW models, see eg [44]. The solution of string theory in Minkowski space is well known. For the $CW_6\times\mathbb{R}^4$ background, the solution of string theory on the plane wave CW_6 with a non-trivial H-flux has been given in [45]. It remains to investigate the solution of string theory in the $AdS_3\times S^3\times\mathbb{R}^4$ background. The spectrum of string theory on AdS_3 has been investigated in detail in [46] and references within. Moreover the string spectrum on $SU(2)$ is given by that of the standard WZW model. The central charge of the bosonic sector of the background is

$$c=\frac{3k}{k-2}+\frac{3k}{k+2}+4\tag{7.13}$$

where each term corresponds to the contribution of the associated space in the product $AdS_3\times S^3\times\mathbb{R}^4$.

The backgrounds $G\times S^3\times\mathbb{R}$ appear as near horizon geometries of 5-branes with worldvolume G. These are linear dilaton backgrounds. The dilaton depends on the coordinate of $\mathbb{R}$. The solution of string theory on G has already been explained in the $G\times\mathbb{R}^4$ case above. In addition, the solution of string theory on $S^3\times\mathbb{R}$ has already been investigated in [7] in the context of 5-branes with worldvolume $\mathbb{R}^{5,1}$. The theory on S^3 is a WZW model while the theory along $\mathbb{R}$ is a Feigin-Fuks theory associated with the linear dilaton. For example the total central charge of the bosonic sector of the $AdS_3\times S^3\times S^3\times\mathbb{R}$ background is

$$c=\frac{3k}{k-2}+\frac{3k}{k+2}+\frac{3p}{p+2}+(1+\frac{6}{p})~,\tag{7.14}$$

where p is the 5-brane charge computed at infinity. The first two contributions to the central charge are due to $AdS_3\times S^3$ worldvolume geometry. The first of the other two contributions to the central charge is due to the WZW model associated with the near horizon 3-sphere while the last contribution is due to the to a Feigin-Fuks theory. Unlike the $G \times \mathbb{R}^4$ case above, the presence of a linear dilaton in these backgrounds gives rise to regions in spacetime which are strongly coupled and so string loop corrections should be taken into account.

7.3.1 (1,0) supersymmetry

So far, we have investigated the worldvolume theory of hol($\hat{\nabla}$) $\subseteq SU(2)$ backgrounds for which the $\check{\nabla}$ connection has been identified with the gauge one, and so $dH = 0$. If this is not longer the case, then Bianchi identity of H may receive an anomalous contribution (2.3). The worldvolume theory of generic such backgrounds admits (1,0) supersymmetry. Let g be the spacetime metric. At the zeroth order in α', $dH = 0 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha')$, and so $H = db + \mathcal{O}(\alpha')$. In addition let $(\sigma^{\ddagger}, \sigma^{=}, \theta^{+})$ be the worldvolume superspace coordinates, where $(\sigma^{\ddagger}, \sigma^{=})$ are commuting lightcone coordinates and θ^{+} is an odd coordinate. Moreover, introduce the superspace coordinate D_{+}, $D_{+}^{2} = i\partial_{\ddagger}$. Then the worldvolume action of string propagating in such backgrounds is

$$S = -i \int d^2\sigma d\theta^{+} [(g+b)_{MN} D_{+} X^{M} \partial_{=} X^{N} + i h_{ab} \psi_{-}^{a} \nabla_{+} \psi_{-}^{b}] \ , \tag{7.15}$$

where the spacetime coordinates x^M have been promoted to superfields $X^{M}(\sigma^{\ddagger}, \sigma^{=}, \theta^{+})$, A is the gauge connection, and $\nabla_{+}\psi_{-}^{a} = D_{+}\psi_{-}^{a} + DX^{M} A_{M}{}^{a}{}_{b} \psi_{-}^{b}$. The theory has an additional fermionic superfield ψ_{-}. The components of both type of superfields are

$$X^{M} = X^{M}| \ , \quad \lambda_{+}^{M} = D_{+}X^{M}| \ , \quad \psi_{-}^{a} = \psi_{-}^{a}| \ , \quad \ell^{a} = \nabla_{+}\psi_{-}^{a}| \ , \tag{7.16}$$

where ℓ is an auxiliary field.

We have not constructed explicit solutions of this class of backgrounds. However, it is straightforward to see that one can easily generalize the wrapped 5-brane solutions on G to this case. We shall not give the details here. We shall focus instead on the issue of ultraviolet finiteness for these models. In particular consider the case of wrapped 5-brane solutions on $AdS_3 \times S^3$ for which S^3 is gauged but the $\check{\nabla}$ connection is not identified with the gauge one. Generalizing the argument we have presented for the backgrounds with (1,1) worldvolume supersymmetry, we can show that such (1,0)-supersymmetric backgrounds are also ultraviolet finite. However in this case, the proof for ultraviolet finiteness is based on that of (4,0)-supersymmetric sigma models [18, 19] instead of that of (4,1) supersymmetric ones. It is known that the couplings of (4,0)-supersymmetric sigma models receive corrections from changing the renormalization scheme from a (1,0)-supersymmetric to manifestly (4,0)-supersymmetric one [19]. Such corrections are consistent with the contribution from the curvature square terms in (3.13).

8 Holonomy $\mathbb{R}^8$ solutions

8.1 Geometry

The backgrounds with 8 supersymmetries and $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^8$ [14] admit a light-cone orthonormal frame $e^A = (e^-, e^+, e^i)$, $i = 1, 6, 2, 7, 3, 8, 4, 9$. In this frame, the conditions that arise from the Killing spinor equations can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^8 \ , \quad \hat{\nabla} e^- = 0 \ , \quad \partial_+ \Phi = 0 \ , \quad de^- \in \mathbb{R}^8 \ , \\ &H_{ijk} = 0 \ , \quad 2\partial_i \Phi - H_{-+i} = 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{8.1}$$

Moreover, the spacetime metric and H are

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= 2e^- e^+ + \delta_{ij} e^i e^j \ , \\ H &= e^+ \wedge de^- + \Omega_{-,ij} e^- \wedge e^i \wedge e^j \ , \end{aligned} \tag{8.2}$$

where Ω is the spin connection. To solve the conditions that arise from the Killing spinor equations, first observe that (8.1) implies that the vector field X associated to the null 1-form e^- is Killing. Adapting a coordinate to X as $X = \partial_u$. The metric is independent of u since X is an isometry. Next the condition $de^- \in \mathbb{R}^8$ can also be written as

$$e^- \wedge de^- = 0 \ . \tag{8.3}$$

This implies that there is a coordinate v such that

$$e^- = h^{-1}(y, v) dv \ , \tag{8.4}$$

for some function h which depends on the coordinates (v, y^I), where y^I are the coordinates along the transverse directions to the light cone.

In terms of the coordinates (u, v, y^I), the frame can be written as

$$e^- = h^{-1}(y, v) dv \ , \quad e^+ = du + V dv + n_I dy^I \ , \quad e^i = e^i_I dy^I + t^i dv \ . \tag{8.5}$$

This frame is not unique. There is a residuum $\mathbb{R}^8$-gauge symmetry which leaves e^- and the Killing spinors invariant which can be used to set $t = 0$. So one has

$$e^- = h^{-1} dv \ , \quad e^i = e^i_I dy^I \ , \quad e^+ = du + V dv + n_I dy^I \ , \tag{8.6}$$

where h, e^i_I, V and n_I depend on both v and y^I coordinates. The metric and 3-form field strength can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= 2h^{-1} dv (du + V dv + n_I dy^I) + \delta_{ij} e^i_I e^j_J dy^I dy^J \\ H &= e^+ \wedge de^- - \big(h\, \delta_{k[i} e^J_{j]}\, \partial_v e^k_J + \partial_{[I} n_{J]} e^I_i e^J_j\big)\, e^- \wedge e^i \wedge e^j \end{aligned} \tag{8.7}$$

Using the first condition in (8.1), $H_{ijk} = 0$, and the torsion free conditions for the frame (e^-, e^+, e^i), one finds that

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_I (2\Phi + \log h) = 0 \ , \quad \partial_{[I} e^i_{J]} = 0 \ , \quad \Omega_{-,-i} = e^I_i (-\partial_I V + \partial_v n_I) \ , \\ &\Omega_{-,ij} = -h\, \delta_{k[i} e^J_{j]}\, \partial_v e^k_J - \partial_{[I} n_{J]} e^I_i e^J_i \ . \end{aligned} \tag{8.8}$$

The first condition relates the dilaton to h. The second condition implies that there are functions $x^i(y^J, v)$ such that

$$e^i_I = \partial_I x^i \ . \tag{8.9}$$

Performing the coordinate transformation $x^i = x^i(y^I, v)$ and after an appropriate redefinition of V and n, the fields can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} &ds^2 = 2e^- e^+ + \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j \ , \qquad e^- = h^{-1} dv \ , \quad e^+ = du + V dv + n_i dx^i \ , \\ &H = d(e^- \wedge e^+) \ , \quad e^{2\Phi} = h^{-1} g(v) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{8.10}$$

where h, V, n are functions of v and x^i, and g is an arbitrary function of v. This concludes the analysis of the gravitino and dilatino Killing spinor equations.

The gaugino Killing spinor equation implies

$$F_{+i} = F_{ij} = F_{-+} = 0 \ , \tag{8.11}$$

where we have suppressed the gauge indices. In the coordinates of (8.10), these can be written as

$$F_{ui} = F_{ij} = F_{vu} = 0 \tag{8.12}$$

Choosing the gauge $A_u = 0$, we have that the above conditions imply

$$A_i = A_i(v, y) \ , \quad A_v = A_v(v, x^i) \ , \quad A_i = U^{-1} \partial_i U \ . \tag{8.13}$$

After performing a gauge transformation using U, the only non-vanishing component of A is A_v and

$$F_{vi} = \partial_i A_v \ . \tag{8.14}$$

Clearly the one-loop contribution to the Bianchi identity of H due to the anomaly cancelation mechanism for both A and $\check{\nabla}$ connections vanishes. So the solutions are at least two-loop exact.

It is clear from the analysis so far that to find explicit solutions in this case, one has to determine the unknown functions that appear in (8.10) and (8.14). These are $h(x, v), V(x, v), n_i(x, v)$ and $A_v(x, v)$. This is done by solving the field equations.

8.2 The solution of the field equations

The Killing spinor equations imply some of the field equations. It is known [14, 15] that the remaining independent field equations that have to be solved are the $E_{--} = 0$ component of the Einstein equations, the field equations $LH_{AB} = 0$ of the 2-form gauge potential and the $LF_- = 0$ component of the 1-form gauge potential. The field equation $LH_{AB} = 0$ gives

$$\partial^2_i h = 0 \ , \quad \partial^j (dn)_{ji} + g \partial_v (g^{-1} \partial_i h) = 0 \ . \tag{8.15}$$

Using $\partial_i^2 h = 0$, the $E_{--} = 0$ component of the Einstein equations implies that

$$h^{-1}\partial_i^2 V - h^{-1}\partial_v \partial^i n_i - \partial_v^2 \log(h^{-1}g) + h\partial_v h^{-1}\partial_v \log(h^{-1}g) = 0 \ . \qquad (8.16)$$

Moreover, $LF_- = 0$ gives

$$\partial_i^2 A_v = 0 \ . \qquad (8.17)$$

and so A_v is a harmonic function on $\mathbb{R}^8$.

The above equations of motion can be simplified somewhat provided we take $g = 1$ and choose

$$\partial^i n_i = \partial_v h \ . \qquad (8.18)$$

In such a case, they can be written as

$$\partial_i^2 h = 0 \ , \quad \partial_i^2 n_j = 0 \ , \quad \partial_i^2 V = 0 \ , \quad \partial_i^2 A_v = 0 \ . \qquad (8.19)$$

Therefore h, n, V and A_v are v-dependent harmonic functions of $\mathbb{R}^8$. Moreover, it is required that n and h satisfy (8.18). Solutions of these equations that depend on both v and x coordinates have been given in [47].

Alternatively, one can assume that h, V, n and A_v depend only on x and $g = 1$. In such a case, one finds that

$$\partial_i^2 h = 0 \ , \quad \partial^j (dn)_{ji} = 0 \ , \quad \partial_i^2 V = 0 \ , \quad \partial_i^2 A_v = 0 \qquad (8.20)$$

So again h, V and A_v are harmonic functions of $\mathbb{R}^8$ and n satisfies the Maxwell equations on $\mathbb{R}^8$. It is clear that the most general solution of this class is the superposition of a fundamental string with a pp-wave and with a null rotation.

8.3 Worldvolume theory

As we have already mentioned there is no anomalous contribution to the Bianchi identity of H in the $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^8$ class of backgrounds, and so $dH = 0$. However, the gauge connection A need not be identified with $\check{\nabla}$. In fact in the context of the heterotic string A cannot be identified with $\check{\nabla}$ because the holonomy of the former is compact while the holonomy of the latter is Lorentzian for generic backgrounds [20]. As a result the worldvolume theory of generic backgrounds is (1,0)-supersymmetric. The action is given as in (7.15) and we shall not repeat the analysis.

To describe the ultraviolet properties of $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^8$ backgrounds we shall consider two cases. If the solutions are taken as backgrounds of the common sector of type II strings, ie the gauge connection is identified with $\check{\nabla}$, and so the the worldvolume supersymmetry is enhanced to (1,1), then it has been shown in [20] that worldvolume theory is ultraviolet finite provided $\partial_v h = 0$. Next consider the $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^8$ backgrounds as solutions of the heterotic string. As it has already been mentioned $\mathrm{hol}(\check{\nabla})$ cannot be identified with A for generic backgrounds. So in general the worldvolume theory has strictly (1,0) worldvolume supersymmetry. In addition it is known that in many cases, the 2-loop beta function does not vanish. An exhaustive analysis of the ultraviolet properties of these backgrounds in the context of heterotic string can be found in [20].

9 Conclusions

We have described all backgrounds of heterotic strings that preserve half the supersymmetry, ie 8 supercharges. There are three classes of backgrounds distinguished by the holonomy of the connection with torsion $\hat{\nabla}$. The backgrounds of the $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq SU(2)$ class can be constructed starting from any 4-dimensional hyper-Kähler manifold, B_{hk}, and a anti-self-dual connection on it with gauge group G such that $\mathfrak{Lie}(G) = \mathbb{R}^{5,1}, \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2), \mathfrak{cw}_6$ is a self-dual Lorentzian Lie algebra. In particular, the spacetime is a principal bundle with base space B_{hk}, fibre group G and equipped with a compatible anti-self-dual connection λ. We demonstrate that the generic solutions of this type have the interpretation of wrapped 5-branes on G.

We have constructed new solutions which are characterized by 3 integers and depend on a continuous moduli which includes that of $SU(2)$ instantons. There may be a relation of some of these backgrounds to the ADHM sigma models of [48]. We also explore their interpretation in M-theory in terms of M2- and M5-brane intersections.

We have investigated the worldvolume theory of $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq SU(2)$ backgrounds and show that it is closely related to that of gauged WZW models. However the worldvolume gauge field in this case is not independent but rather it is a composite that depends on the scalars associated with B_{hk}. We demonstrate that a large class of the worldvolume theories based on these backgrounds are ultraviolet finite. This may be extend to all $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq SU(2)$ backgrounds.

We also show that the backgrounds of the $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^8$ class are superpositions of the fundamental string with a pp-wave which may also include a null rotation.

For the third class of backgrounds that preserve 8 supersymmetries, $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) = \{1\}$. The spacetime is a Lorentzian group manifold. These backgrounds have been classified in [21].

The analysis we have presented is complete for the $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^8$ class of backgrounds. The description of all $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq SU(2)$ solutions requires the classification of all 4-dimensional hyper-Kähler manifolds and their anti-self-dual instantons which have gauge group G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$ or $\mathfrak{cw}_6$. There are explicit constructions of these data in many special cases but the description is not complete. Even in the case that $B_{hk} = \mathbb{R}^4$ to construct all solutions, one has to find the (non-abelian) instantons with a Lorentzian gauge group G; $\mathfrak{Lie}G = \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2), \mathfrak{cw}_6$. Unlike for instantons on $\mathbb{R}^4$ with a Euclidean gauge group, little is known about Lorentzian gauge group case. Though related configurations have been investigated in eg [49]. Since any 4-dimensional hyper-Kähler manifold can be used to construct solutions, one may consider other examples from that with $B_{hk} = \mathbb{R}^4$. An alternative choice is $B_{hk} = T^4$ and the anti-self-dual connections on T^4 to give new solutions. The supersymmetry of such backgrounds will depend on the choice of spin structures on T^4 and this worths further investigation. New explicit solutions can also be given by taking B_{hk} to be the Gibbons-Hawking manifold.

It is clear that there are continuous families of $\mathrm{hol}(\hat{\nabla}) \subseteq SU(2)$ backgrounds and their moduli is very large. Nevertheless all such backgrounds can be understood in terms of 4-dimensional hyper-Kähler geometry and the theory of instantons on hyper-Kähler manifolds.

Acknowledgments: I would like to thank Ulf Gran and Arkady Tseytlin for helpful discussions.

References

[1] C. M. Hull and P. K. Townsend, "Unity of superstring dualities," Nucl. Phys. B **438**, 109 (1995) [arXiv:hep-th/9410167].

[2] P. K. Townsend, "The eleven-dimensional supermembrane revisited," Phys. Lett. B **350** (1995) 184 [arXiv:hep-th/9501068].

[3] G. Gibbons and K. Maeda, "Black holes and membranes in higher-dimensional theories with dilaton fields," *Nucl. Phys.* **B298** (1988) 741–775.

[4] A. Dabholkar, G. W. Gibbons, J. A. Harvey and F. Ruiz Ruiz, "SUPERSTRINGS AND SOLITONS," Nucl. Phys. B **340** (1990) 33.

[5] M. Duff and J. Lu, "The selfdual type IIB superthreebrane," *Phys. Lett.* **B273** (1991) 409–414.

[6] M. Duff and J. Lu, "Elementary five-brane solutions of D=10 supergravity," *Nucl. Phys.* **B354** (1991) 141–153.

[7] C. G. . Callan, J. A. Harvey and A. Strominger, "World sheet approach to heterotic instantons and solitons," Nucl. Phys. B **359** (1991) 611.

"Supersymmetric string solitons," arXiv:hep-th/9112030.

[8] G. Horowitz and A. Strominger, "Black strings and p-branes," *Nucl. Phys.* **B360** (1991) 197–209.

[9] M. J. Duff and K. S. Stelle, "Multi-membrane solutions of D = 11 supergravity," Phys. Lett. B **253** (1991) 113.

[10] R. Gueven, "Black p-brane solutions of D = 11 supergravity theory," Phys. Lett. B **276** (1992) 49.

[11] J. M. Izquierdo, N. D. Lambert, G. Papadopoulos and P. K. Townsend, "Dyonic Membranes," Nucl. Phys. B **460** (1996) 560 [arXiv:hep-th/9508177].

[12] H. Lin, O. Lunin and J. M. Maldacena, "Bubbling AdS space and 1/2 BPS geometries," JHEP **0410** (2004) 025 [arXiv:hep-th/0409174].

O. Lunin, "On gravitational description of Wilson lines," JHEP **0606** (2006) 026 [arXiv:hep-th/0604133].

[13] E. D'Hoker, J. Estes, M. Gutperle, D. Krym and P. Sorba, "Half-BPS supergravity solutions and superalgebras," arXiv:0810.1484 [hep-th].

"Exact half-BPS Type IIB interface solutions I: Local solution and supersymmetric Janus," JHEP **0706** (2007) 021 [arXiv:0705.0022 [hep-th]].

[14] U. Gran, P. Lohrmann and G. Papadopoulos, "The spinorial geometry of supersymmetric heterotic string backgrounds," JHEP **0602** (2006) 063 [arXiv:hep-th/0510176].

[15] U. Gran, G. Papadopoulos, D. Roest and P. Sloane, "Geometry of all supersymmetric type I backgrounds," JHEP **0708**, 074 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0703143].

[16] J. Gillard, U. Gran and G. Papadopoulos, "The spinorial geometry of supersymmetric backgrounds," Class. Quant. Grav. **22** (2005) 1033 [arXiv:hep-th/0410155].

[17] A. Chamseddine, J. Figueroa-O'Farrill and W. Sabra, "Supergravity vacua and Lorentzian Lie groups," arXiv:hep-th/0306278.

[18] P. S. Howe and G. Papadopoulos, "Ultraviolet Behavior Of Two-Dimensional Supersymmetric Nonlinear Sigma Models," Nucl. Phys. B **289** (1987) 264.

"Further Remarks On The Geometry Of Two-Dimensional Nonlinear Sigma Models," Class. Quant. Grav. **5** (1988) 1647.

[19] P. S. Howe and G. Papadopoulos, "Finiteness and anomalies in (4,0) supersymmetric sigma models," Nucl. Phys. B **381** (1992) 360 [arXiv:hep-th/9203070].

[20] G. T. Horowitz and A. A. Tseytlin, "A New class of exact solutions in string theory," Phys. Rev. D **51** (1995) 2896 [arXiv:hep-th/9409021].

A. A. Tseytlin, "Exact Solutions Of Closed String Theory," Class. Quant. Grav. **12** (1995) 2365 [arXiv:hep-th/9505052].

[21] J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, T. Kawano and S. Yamaguchi, "Parallelisable heterotic backgrounds," JHEP **0310**, 012 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0308141].

[22] E. A. Bergshoeff and M. de Roo, *The Quartic Effective Action Of The Heterotic String And Supersymmetry*, Nucl. Phys. **B328** (1989) 439.

[23] C.M. Hull, P.K. Townsend *The two loop beta function for sigma models with torsion*, Phys.Lett. **B191**:115, (1987).

[24] J. Gillard, G. Papadopoulos and D. Tsimpis, "Anomaly, fluxes and (2,0) heterotic-string compactifications," JHEP **0306** (2003) 035 [arXiv:hep-th/0304126].

[25] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, "Foundations of Differential Geometry", Vol I, J. Wiley & Sons, New York-London 1963.

[26] J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, T. Kawano and S. Yamaguchi, "Parallelisable heterotic backgrounds," JHEP **0310**, 012 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0308141].

[27] M. Blau, J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, C. Hull and G. Papadopoulos, "Penrose limits and maximal supersymmetry," Class. Quant. Grav. **19** (2002) L87 [arXiv:hep-th/0201081].

[28] E. Eyras, P. K. Townsend and M. Zamaklar, "The heterotic dyonic instanton," JHEP **0105** (2001) 046 [arXiv:hep-th/0012016].

[29] M. F. Atiyah, V. G. Drinfeld, N. J. Hitchin and Y. I. Manin, "Construction of Instantons," Phys. Lett. **65A** (1978) 185.

[30] S. K. Donaldson, "Anti self-dual Yang Mills connections over complex algebraic surfaces and stable vector bundles," Proc. London Math. Soc. **50** (1985), 1-26.

[31] E. Bergshoeff, M. J. Duff, C. N. Pope and E. Sezgin, "Supersymmetric Supermembrane Vacua And Singletons," Phys. Lett. B **199** (1987) 69.

[32] K. Becker, M. Becker and A. Strominger, "Five-branes, membranes and nonperturbative string theory," Nucl. Phys. B **456** (1995) 130 [arXiv:hep-th/9507158].

[33] E. Bergshoeff, R. Kallosh, T. Ortin and G. Papadopoulos, "kappa-symmetry, supersymmetry and intersecting branes," Nucl. Phys. B **502** (1997) 149 [arXiv:hep-th/9705040].

[34] G. Papadopoulos and P. K. Townsend, "Intersecting M-branes," Phys. Lett. B **380** (1996) 273 [arXiv:hep-th/9603087].

[35] O. Lunin, "Strings ending on branes from supergravity," JHEP **0709** (2007) 093 [arXiv:0706.3396 [hep-th]].

[36] A. A. Tseytlin, "Harmonic superpositions of M-branes," Nucl. Phys. B **475** (1996) 149 [arXiv:hep-th/9604035].

J. P. Gauntlett, D. A. Kastor and J. H. Traschen, "Overlapping Branes in M-Theory," Nucl. Phys. B **478** (1996) 544 [arXiv:hep-th/9604179].

[37] A. Strominger, "Open p-branes," Phys. Lett. B **383** (1996) 44 [arXiv:hep-th/9512059].

P. K. Townsend, "D-branes from M-branes," Phys. Lett. B **373** (1996) 68 [arXiv:hep-th/9512062].

[38] C. M. Hull and B. J. Spence, "The Geometry of the gauged sigma model with Wess-Zumino term," Nucl. Phys. B **353** (1991) 379.

[39] C. M. Hull, G. Papadopoulos and B. J. Spence, "Gauge symmetries for (p,q) supersymmetric sigma models," Nucl. Phys. B **363**, 593 (1991).

[40] J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill and S. Stanciu, "Equivariant Cohomology and Gauged Bosonic Sigma Models," hep-th/9407149.

[41] S. J. . Gates, C. M. Hull and M. Rocek, "Twisted Multiplets And New Supersymmetric Nonlinear Sigma Models," Nucl. Phys. B **248** (1984) 157.

[42] P. S. Howe and G. Sierra, "Two-Dimensional Supersymmetric Nonlinear Sigma Models With Torsion," Phys. Lett. B **148** (1984) 451.

[43] C. M. Hull and E. Witten, *Supersymmetric Sigma Models And The Heterotic String*, Phys. Lett. B **160** (1985) 398.

[44] D. Gepner and E. Witten, "String Theory on Group Manifolds," Nucl. Phys. B **278** (1986) 493.

[45] M. Blau, M. O'Loughlin, G. Papadopoulos and A. A. Tseytlin, "Solvable models of strings in homogeneous plane wave backgrounds," Nucl. Phys. B **673** (2003) 57 [arXiv:hep-th/0304198].

[46] J. M. Maldacena and H. Ooguri, "Strings in AdS(3) and SL(2,R) WZW model. I," J. Math. Phys. **42** (2001) 2929 [arXiv:hep-th/0001053].

[47] O. Lunin and S. D. Mathur, "Metric of the multiply wound rotating string," Nucl. Phys. B **610** (2001) 49 [arXiv:hep-th/0105136].

K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, "The fuzzball proposal for black holes," Phys. Rept. **467** (2008) 117 [arXiv:0804.0552 [hep-th]].

[48] E. Witten, "Sigma Models and the ADHM Construction of Instantons," J. Geom. Phys. **15** (1995) 215 [arXiv:hep-th/9410052].

[49] R. A. Mosna and M. Jardin, "Nonsingular solutions of Hitchin's equations for non-compact gauge groups,", Non-linearity **20** (2007), 1893-1906.